

“IRRATIONAL” PROJECTIONS AND MUCKENHOUP T CONDITIONS

BORIS MITYAGIN

In analysis of Systems of dilated functions [1], we faced a few questions on “irrational” projections in weighted L^p -spaces.

The Hilbert – Riesz projection

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} Q : e^{ikx} &\rightarrow \lambda(k)e^{ikx}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \lambda(k) &= 1 \text{ if } k \geq 0, \\ &= 0 \text{ if } k < 0, \end{aligned}$$

is bounded in $L^p(\mathbb{T})$, $\mathbb{T} = [0, 2\pi)$, $1 < p < \infty$. If we consider weighted L^p spaces $L^p(\mathbb{T}; w)$, $w, \frac{1}{w} \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$, $w \geq 0$, with the norm

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |f(x)|^p w(x) \frac{dx}{2\pi} \right)^{1/p},$$

the Muckenhoupt condition (A_p) [2] on the weight w is necessary and sufficient for boundedness of the projection Q in $L^p(\mathbb{T}; w)$, $1 < p < \infty$.

In the multidimensional case, as an analogue of a projection Q , for any non-zero linear function ℓ on \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 2$,

$$(2.0) \quad \ell(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j \xi_j, \quad (\lambda_j) \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\},$$

we define the projection Q_λ ,

$$(2.1) \quad Q_\lambda : \begin{aligned} e^{i\langle k, x \rangle} &\rightarrow e^{i\langle k, x \rangle} && \text{if } \ell(k) \geq 0, \\ &\rightarrow 0 && \text{if } \ell(k) < 0, \end{aligned} \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^d, x \in \mathbb{T}^d.$$

If all coefficients λ_j , $1 \leq j \leq d$, are rational, the question about boundedness of Q_λ on $L^p(\mathbb{T}^d; w)$ can be reduced to the case $(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^d = (e_1)$, i.e., $\lambda_1 = 1$, $\lambda_j = 0$, $2 \leq j \leq d$, which is essentially a one-dimensional case, and the complete answer is given with proper adjustment of the conditions (A_p) , $d = 1$.

Now I want to ask a couple of questions on “irrational” half-spaces, or projections Q_λ , when the vector $\lambda = (\lambda_j)_{j=1}^d$ is *irrational*, i.e., its coordinates are linearly independent over the rationals.. It seems the difficulties come because the boundedness of this “discrete” operator cannot be made equivalent to the boundedness

THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

E-mail address: mityagin.1@osu.edu, boris.mityagin@gmail.com.

of some “continuous” singular operator as it was in the case (1), or even for $d \geq 2$ if ℓ is rational. Usually, we use the operator

$$(Hf)(e^{i\vartheta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{p. v.} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{it}) \cot \frac{\vartheta - t}{2} dt, \quad \text{or} \quad g \mapsto \text{p. v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{g(\xi)}{\xi - x} dx.$$

Question 1. Let ℓ or λ , in (2.0) and (2.1) be *irrational*. Give necessary and sufficient conditions [on a weight $w \geq 0$, $w, \frac{1}{w} \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$] of the boundedness of the projections Q_λ in $L^p(\mathbb{T}^d; w)$.

In the case $w \equiv 1$, i.e., for Lebesgue measure m , we can show that Q_λ is bounded in $L^p(\mathbb{T}^d; m)$, $1 < p < \infty$, for any ℓ in (2.0); moreover, these projections are uniformly bounded, i.e., $\|Q_\lambda|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d; m)}\| \leq C(p) < \infty$, with $C(p)$ independent of λ .

The case of weights

$$(3) \quad w(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^d |x_j|^{a_j}, & \text{if } \sum_{j=1}^d |x_j|^2 \leq \frac{1}{9} \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

could be of special interest.

Question 2. Let ℓ , or λ , be *irrational*, and $w(x) \in (3)$ with $a_j > 0$, $1 \leq j \leq d$, and $\sum_{j=1}^d \frac{1}{a_j} > 1$. For which set $(a_j)_{j=1}^d$ of potentials is the projection Q_λ bounded in $L^p(\mathbb{T}^d, w)$, $1 < p < \infty$?

Question 3. Let us restrict ourselves to the L^2 -case only, and try to answer the above questions (Que. 1 and 2) for $p = 2$.

Example 4. If $p_1 = 2, p_2 = 3, \dots, p_n$ is the sequence of primes, then for any d the set

$$\lambda_j^* = \log p_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq d, \quad d \geq 4,$$

is *irrational*, that is, linearly independent over the rationals. Let

$$w^*(x) = \begin{cases} x_k^2 + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^d x_j^4, & \text{if } \sum_{j=1}^d |x_j|^2 \leq \frac{1}{9} \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Show that the projection Q_{λ^*} is *not* bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d; w^*)$.

- [1] B. Mityagin, “Systems of dilated functions: Completeness, minimality, basisness,” *Func. Anal. Appl.* 51:3 (2017), p. 236 – 239.
 [2] B. Muckenhoupt, “Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function,” *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 165 (1972), p. 207 – 226.